

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/609,123	06/27/2003	Tushar Patel	101896-0178	6697
21125 75	590 10/26/2006		EXAMINER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			3733	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/26/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/609,123 PATEL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
•	David Comstock	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire l	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	a within the time period set forth in 3	57 CFR 41.37(a).
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	-	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	<u> </u>	P I. Chada a sandana A
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-21 and 23-26</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered vit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper Nots);	
13. 🔲 Other:	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle /$	\sim

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) EDUARDO CAOBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the terminology accompanying the trademark BOOKWALTER, the generic terminology "surgical retractor" fails to differentiate it from any other surgical retractor. Accordingly, if all that is meant is "surgical retractor" then that is what should be in the disclosure. However, if a BOOKWALTER retractor is somehow different from an ordinary surgical retractor, generic terminology describing such differences should accompany the trademark. With regard to Florin, it is noted that the prior art need only be "adapted to" connect two retractor devices and these devices could be connected (e.g. by stacking, tying, clamping, etc.). With regard to Oxland et al., applicant's arguments appear to depend on an interpretation different from that given in the rejection. For example, portion 72 and 74 in the rejection refers to "planar retracting surfaces," which are at a distal-most end of the elongate member. Portions 76 and 78 extend beyond a distal end of the guide members 80 and 82. Finally, the motivation to combine the concave ends of Kurland with the device of Oxland et al. is to facilitate proper seating on a drilling target to improve a procedure. This teaching in Kurland is applicable to the use set forth in Oxland et al., since both devices are used on target surfaces.